PCT

NOTIFICATION DE TRANSMISSION DE COPIES DE LA TRADUCTION DU RAPPORT D'EXAMEN

PRELIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL
SUR LA BREVETABILITE (CHAPITRE I OU CHAPITRE II
DU TRAITE DE COOPERATION EN MATIERE DE BREVETS)

(règles 44bis.3.c) et 72.2 du PCT)

Destinataire:

LEHU, Jean
BREVATOME
3, rue du Docteur Lancere de Paris
F-75008 PARIS
FRANCE

BREVATOME
3 PARIS
FRANCE

Expéditeur : le BUREAU INTERNATIONAL

Date d'expédition (jour/mois/année)
09 mars 2006 (09.03.2006)

Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire
B 14628 PR

Demande internationale n°
PCT/FR2004/050137

Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année)
01 avril 2004 (01.04.2004)

AREVA T & D SA etc

- 1. Transmission de la traduction au déposant.
  - Le Bureau international transmet ci-joint copie de la traduction en langue anglaise du rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).
  - Le Bureau international transmet ci-joint copie de la traduction en langue anglaise du rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre II).
- 2. Transmission d'une copie de la traduction aux offices désignés ou élus.

Le Bureau international notifie au déposant qu'une copie de cette traduction a été transmise aux offices désignés ou élus suivants qui exigent la traduction en question:

#### Aucun

Les offices désignés ou élus suivants ayant renoncé à l'exigence selon laquelle la transmission doit être effectuée à cette date recevront une copie de cette traduction du Bureau international seulement à leur demande:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Rappel concernant la traduction dans la ou l'une des langues officielles de l'office ou des offices élus.

Il est rappelé au déposant que, lorsqu'une traduction de la demande internationale doit être remise à un office élu, cette traduction doit comporter la traduction de toute annexe du rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre II).

Il appartient au déposant d'établir la traduction en question et de la remettre directement à chaque office élu intéressé dans le délai applicable (règle 74.1). Voir le volume II du Guide du déposant du PCT pour de plus amples renseignements.

Bureau international de l'OMPl 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Genève 20, Suisse Fonctionnaire autorisé

Beate Giffo-Schmitt

n° de télécopieur+41 22 740 14 35

n° de télécopieur+41 22 338 87 20

Formulaire PCT/LB/338 (janvier 2004)

#### TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

# PCT "

# RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ (chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire B 14628 PR	POUR SUITE À DONNER	Voir le point 4 ci-dessous			
Demande internationale no. PCT/FR2004/050137	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 01 April 2004 (01.04.2004)	Date de priorité (jour/mois/année) 02 April 2003 (02.04.2003)			
Classification internationale des brevets (8 <sup>e</sup> edition, sauf indication d'une #dition ant#rieure) Voir les informations pertinentes dans le formulaire PCT/ISA/237					
Déposant AREVA T & D SA					

1.	Le présent rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I) est établi par le Bureau international au nom de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale selon la règle 44bis.1.a).				
2.	Ce RAPPORT comprend un total de 8 feuilles, y compris la présente feuille de couverture.  Dans les feuilles jointes, toute référence à l'opinion écrite de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale doit être entendue, à la place, comme une référence au rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).				
3:	3: Le présent rapport contient des indications relatives aux points suivants :				
	Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion			
	Cadre n° II	Priorité			
	Cadre n° III	Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle			
	Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'invention			
	Cadre n° V	Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration			
	Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités			
	Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale			
	Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relatives à la demande internationale			
4.	Le Bureau international commu mais pas avant l'expiration du c requête expresse à cet égard en	uniquera le présent rapport aux offices désignés conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1 délai de 30 mois à compter de la date de priorité (règle 44bis.2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une vertu de l'article 23.2).			

	Date d'établissement du présent rapport 02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)		
Bureau international de l'OMPI 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20. Switzerland	Fonctionnaire autorisé  Beate Giffo-Schmitt		
no de télécopieur +41 22 740 14 35	no de téléphone : +41 22 338 87 20		

Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	ITTY	Tan Tan		
To:			PCT PCT	
			RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	***************************************	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION	
B 14628 PR		See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/FR2004/050137	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02.04.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both	h national classification an	d IPC		
Applicant				
AREVA T & D SA				
This opinion contains indications rela	ting to the following items	**		
Box No. I Basis of the		~		
	оринон			
	aharan Kantata a Mi			
l		egard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. V Reasoned st	ty of invention  atement under Rule 43bis.	l(a)(i) with regard to r	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	y, charions and expranation uments cited	ons supporting such statement		
		-li -nei -m		
	ects in the international app			
Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the internation	nai application		
2. FURTHER ACTION				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fort PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ED		L Authorina d - 60		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP		Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.		

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050137

Box	No. I	Basis of	this opinion	
1.			nguage, this opinion is indicated under this	has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under			
	·	Rule 12.3 and 2	3.1(b)).	
2.			nucleotide and/or a n has been established	mino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed d on the basis of:
	a.	type of material		
		a sequenc	e listing	
		table(s) re	lated to the sequence	lising
	b.	format of mater	ial .	
		in written	format	·
		in comput	er readable form	
	c.	time of filing/fu	rnishing	
		contained	in the international a	application as filed.
		filed toge	ther with the internati	ional application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
<b>3</b> .		furnished, the r	equired statements th	han one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or lat the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as location as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments	:	
				·
	De	scription	on, pages:	
	1-	9	initial	version
Claims, No.:		·.:		
	1-	5	initial	version
	Òr	awings,	sheets	
	1/	3-3/3	initial	version
		-		

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050137

Box No. II Priority
The following document has not yet been furnished:
copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, if necessary:
<u>.</u>
·
·

International application No. PCT/FR2004/050137

			·	101/11(2001/00010)
Bo			ale 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invention opporting such statement	ve step or industrial applicability;
1.	Statement	,		
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5	YES
		Claims		. NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2, 3	YES
		Claims	1, 4, 5	NO NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5	YES
		Claims		NO NO
2.	Citations and explanations:	···		
	1. Reference	is ma	de to the following do	cument.

US-B-6 392 390 (HIDAKA MIKIO ET AL) 21 May D1: 2002 (2002-05-21)

2. The present application does not satisfy the conditions stipulated in PCT Article 33(1), the subject matter of claim 1 not involving an inventive step as defined by PCT Article 33(3).

Document D1, which is considered as the closest prior art to the subject matter of claim 1, describes (the references between parentheses apply to that document):

A method for determining the moment of closure of a circuit breaker in an electric network (see the description), said network comprising:

- a high-voltage supply;
- a three-phase transport line (10);
- a circuit breaker comprising at least three pairs of contacts (1), each pair

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050137

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

being associated with one of the three phases of said line and allowing any current flowing between said supply and said line to be interrupted by separating said two contacts, the first contact being on the same side as the supply and the second contact being on the same side as the line;

 a compensation shunt reactance for compensating for the capacitive reactive power of said line,

said moment of closure being determined in the case of separation of the contacts of each pair of contacts.

said determination of said moment of closure being carried out using the following steps:

- measurement of the voltage between the contact on the line side and earth for each of the phases (5);
- measurement of the voltage between the contact on the supply side and earth for at least one phase (5);
- determination of the voltage between the contact on the supply side and earth for each of the phases (5),

said determination of said moment of closure being characterized in that it further includes the following steps:

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050137

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- calculation, for two separate phases, called first and second phases, of the difference between the voltage between the contact on the line side and earth for said first phase and the voltage between the contact on the line side and earth for said second phase, the calculation being carried out for each pair of separate phases;
- calculation of the difference between the voltage between the contact on the supply side and earth for said first phase and the voltage between the contact on the supply side and earth for said second phase, the calculation being carried out for each pair of separate phases;
- determination of said moment of closure on the basis of said voltage differences.

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that:

the method is carried out in the presence of a fault on one of the three phases.

The problem that the present invention proposes to solve can therefore be considered as being how to provide a good method for determining said moment of closure in a case characterized by the existence of said voltage differences.

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/050137

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

application is not considered as inventive (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons:

The "in the presence of a fault on one of the three phases" feature is only one of the possibilities that a person skilled in the art could choose, depending on the case in question, from among several obvious possibilities for solving the problem posed without involving an inventive step.

- 3. Dependent claims 4 and 5 contain no feature which, when combined with those of any one of the claims to which they refer, defines a subject matter that satisfies the PCT requirements in respect of inventive step.
- 4. The combination of the features of claim 2 or 3 does not fall within the prior art and does not derive in an obvious manner therefrom for the following reasons:

There is no indication in the documents of the prior art about comparing said voltage differences between two sound phases on the line side and source side.

5. The present application satisfies the conditions stipulated in PCT Article 33(4), the subject matter of claims 1-5 being considered as capable of industrial application.